

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/994,373	11/26/2001	Noah Lehmann-Haupt	3009.1003-002	7281
75	590 12/14/2006		EXAM	INER
Mary Lou Wakimura, Esq.			TINKLER, MURIEL S	
HAMILTON, BROOK, SMITH & REYNOLDS, P.C. 530 Virginia Road P.O. Box 9133 Concord, MA 01742-9133			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3691	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/994,373	LEHMANN-HAUPT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Muriel Tinkler	3691			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period value of the provision of the provisi	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 N 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) □ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I	Pate			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther:					

Application/Control Number: 09/994,373

Art Unit: 3691

DETAILED ACTION

This application has been reviewed. The original claims 1-20 are pending. Rejections are as stated below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-4, 7-11, 14-18, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gutterman et al (US 5,297,031).

Claims 1, 8, and 15 discuss an apparatus and method for: a plurality of loosely coupled computers; generating and transmitting a request package; request package has asking price and constraints and enable open market trading among users of the computers; receiving computer generates rules according to the constraints given; each user having at least one other user as a prior established business contact. Gutterman discloses in claim 1: A broker workstation for managing buy and sell orders submitted to a broker from a plurality of customers; a first means for displaying information concerning a plurality of unexecuted orders; each of said unexecuted orders comprising a quantity of a particular commodity, security, option or contract to be bought or sold; a computer for receiving order information, for controlling said first displaying means and

Application/Control Number: 09/994,373

Art Unit: 3691

for calculating a total of said unexecuted orders for a particular commodity, security, option, or contract at the market price."

Claims 2, 9, and 16 discuss a computer receiving a request package has an inventory of the local goods available for selling and a means for modifying the rules dependent on the inventory to reflect seller preferences in product availability.

Gutterman discloses in claim 6, "The workstation of the claim 1, wherein said first displaying means further comprises means for selectively displaying order fill information and said data entering means comprises means for entering order fill information."

Claims 3, 10, and 17 discuss a means for receiving the request package that compares the bid to the inventory and attempts to match supply and demand when permitted by the rules. Gutterman discloses in claim 4, "The workstation of claim 3, wherein the accepted order displaying menas comprises a selected plurality of price tick columns and market price bars for indicating market prices in a plurality of markets."

Claims 4, 11, and 18 discuss method comprising an interface in a computer sending the request package which allows specification of demand parameters for the desired good and reports back results from a request package. Gutterman discloses in claim 8: In a workstation having a computer, means for entering data into the computer, and means for displaying the data entered, a method for managing buy and sell orders submitted to a broker from a plurality of customers."

Claims 7, 14, and 20 discuss an apparatus and method wherein the constraints are configured independently via an interface on each computer of the plurality.

Application/Control Number: 09/994,373

Art Unit: 3691

Gutterman discloses in claim 1, "means for entering data into the computer, said data comprising information concerning incoming orders submitted to a broker from a plurality of customers for execution."

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 5, 6, 12, 13, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gutterman in view of Wiseman (US 5168446).

Claims 5, 6, 12, 13 and 19 discuss a method of transmitting a confirmation package for billing purposes that uses the exact node path of an originally confirmed request package. Claims 5, 6, 12, 13, and 19 are all dependent claims: claims 5 and 6 are dependent on claim 1 which was rejected based on the discussion(s) above; claims 12 and 13 are dependent on claim 8 which was rejected based on the discussion(s) above; claim 19 is dependent on claim 15 which was rejected based on the discussion(s) above. Gutterman discloses the information in claims 1, 8 and 15.

Gutterman does not disclose a method of transmitting a confirmation package for billing purposes that uses the exact node path of the originally confirmed request package. Wiseman teaches on page 16 line 55 through page 17 line 12, "Referring back to the transmission of the quote request... The initial transmission sets up a virtual circuit

Art Unit: 3691

within the packet switching network over which all further communications between the two parties will pass if the quote request is answered by the counterparty."

HANI M. KAZIMI PRIMARY EXAMINER